C6C7	1.441 (2)	C17—C18	1.388 (3)
C7-C15	1.364 (2)	C18-C19	1.373 (4)
C7—C8	1.460 (2)	C19—C20	1.367 (4)
C8	1.217 (2)	C20-C21	1.388 (3)
C15SC1	90.10 (8)	C10-N9-C16	117.23 (14)
C6C1C2	125.7 (2)	C8-N9-C16	119.77 (14)
C6C1S	112.76 (13)	N11-C10-N14	111.7 (2)
C2-C1-S	121.54 (15)	N11-C10-N9	128.1 (2)
C1-C2-C3	110.1 (2)	N14-C10-N9	120.14 (15)
C1-C2-C3'	108.3 (7)	C10-N11-N12	105.71 (14)
C4—C3—C2	111.8 (3)	C13-N12-N11	108.12 (15)
C4'—C3'—C2	112.1 (14)	N12-C13-N14	110.5 (2)
C3-C4-C5	112.7 (2)	C10-N14-C13	103.93 (14)
C3'-C4'-C5	107.6 (14)	C10-N14-C15	120.30 (14)
C6C5C4	111.2 (2)	C13-N14-C15	135.7 (2)
C6C5C4'	110.6 (6)	C7-C15-N14	120.6 (2)
C1-C6-C7	111.9 (2)	C7-C15-S	113.94 (13)
C1-C6-C5	121.8 (2)	N14-C15-S	125.40 (13)
C7—C6—C5	126.4 (2)	C17-C16-C21	121.6 (2)
C15-C7-C6	111.3 (2)	C17-C16-N9	118.9 (2)
C15-C7-C8	121.3 (2)	C21-C16-N9	119.4 (2)
C6-C7-C8	127.3 (2)	C16C17C18	118.8 (2)
O22-C8-N9	120.4 (2)	C19-C18-C17	120.3 (2)
O22-C8-C7	125.0 (2)	C20-C19-C18	120.1 (2)
N9-C8-C7	114.67 (15)	C19-C20-C21	120.8 (2)
C10-N9-C8	122.92 (15)	C16C21C20	118.3 (2)
C1-C2-C3-C4	-44.7 (3)	C1-C2-C3'-C4'	45.6 (17)
C2-C3-C4-C5	61.2 (4)	C2—C3′—C4′—C5	-67.5 (19)
C3-C4-C5-C6	-43.0 (4)	C3'-C4'-C5-C6	52.5 (16)
C4-C5-C6-C1	13.0 (3)	C4'-C5-C6-C1	-21.0 (8)
C5-C6-C1-C2	0.6 (3)	C6C1C2C3'	-12.0 (9)
C6-C1-C2-C3	15.3 (3)		

Disorder of atoms C3 and C4 was observed after anisotropic refinement of the non-H atoms. C3 and C4 were assigned occupancies of p and C3' and C4' were assigned occupancies of 1-p, with p = 0.850 (6) at convergence. All the H atoms belonging to the major conformer were located from difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990a). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990b). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93. Geometric calculations: PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Government and the Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 123-3417-2201. One of the authors (KS) thanks the Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Post Doctoral Research Fellowship.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1178). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Francis, J. E., Cash, W. D., Psychoyos, S., Ghai, G., Wenk, P., Friendmann, R. C., Atkins, C., Warren, V., Furness, P., Hyun, J. L., Stone, G. A., Desai, M. & Williams, M. (1988). J. Med. Chem. 31, 1014–1020.
- Francis, J. E. & Gelotte, K. O. (1988). Chem. Abstr. 109, 129041n.Kottke, K., Kuesmstedt, H., Hagen, V., Renner, H. & Schnitzler, S. (1983). Chem. Abstr. 99, 70757v.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Pathak, U. S., Gandhi, N. V., Singh, S., Warde, R. P. & Jain, K. S. (1992). Indian J. Chem. **31B**, 223–229.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990a). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990b). SHELXTL/PC. Version 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. X-ray Single Crystal Analysis System. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2094-2096

Disordered Structure of 2-Methylanthraquinone

ROBERT KINGSFORD-ADABOH AND SETSUO KASHINO*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Tsushima, Okayama 700, Japan

(Received 28 November 1994; accepted 25 March 1995)

Abstract

Crystals of the title compound, $C_{15}H_{10}O_2$, are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$. The molecules are disordered around a centre of symmetry. Packing considerations and the calculation of the intermolecular interaction energies show that the disordered structure has occurred by random packing of structures with space groups $P2_1$ and Pc, the maximal subgroups of $P2_1/c$.

Comment

A certain kind of structural disorder has been observed in homomolecular crystals like those of azulene (Robertson, Shearer, Sim & Watson, 1962) and 1-bromo-4chlorobenzene (Klug, 1947). In these crystals, each molecule is apparently disordered in two orientations related by a $\overline{1}$ symmetry operation. Here, we comment on such a structural disorder as found in 2-methylanthraquinone, (I).



The molecular arrangement is characterized by the formation of a molecular sheet in $(10\overline{2})$ and molecular stacking along the *a* axis with an interplanar spacing

of 3.493 (4) Å. Stacked molecules are displaced relative to one another by 1.64 Å along the dipole axes of the carbonyl groups. The weak intermolecular C—H···O interactions observed in the sheet are C(3)···O(1ⁱⁱⁱ) 3.578 (7) and C(8^v)···O(1^{iv}) 3.66 (1) Å (Fig. 2). The non-bonding distance C(8)···C(8^{vi}) of 2.69 (2) Å is too short for a normal van der Waals contact. Thus, the two methyl groups cannot actually coexist as seen in the apparent $P2_1/c$ structure. It means that the $\overline{1}$ symmetry at (0, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0), and thus at (0, 0, 0), is generated as a result of disordering.



Fig. 1. The displacement ellipsoids, with atomic numbering, plotted at 50% probability for the non-H atoms. H atoms are represented as spheres equivalent to $B = 1.0 \text{ Å}^2$. The molecule has $\overline{1}$ symmetry by disordering. One of the disordered methyl groups has been omitted to show an actual molecular unit.



Fig. 2. The crystal packing viewed along the *a* axis with the atomic numbering. Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*, *z*; (ii) -x, -y, -z; (iii) *x*, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) -x, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (v) *x*, *y*, 1 + z; (vi) -x, 1 - y, -z.

The disordered $P2_1/c$ structure can be built from random packing of Pc and $P2_1$ structures. The energy contributions of each structure, $P2_1$ and Pc, are equivalent; the sum total of the van der Waals interaction energy for each structure has been estimated to be -107.9 kJ mo1⁻¹ for eight neighbouring molecules by assuming Kitaigorosky's universal potential (Kitaigorodsky, 1973). An antiparallel stacking of molecules along the *a* axis, which may occur on disordering, is more stable by 0.5 kJ mol^{-1} per molecular pair than a parallel stacking by a genuine translation along the *a* axis.

The observed stacking does not show a well defined induced dipole-dipole interaction as observed in naphthoquinone and benzoquinone (Gaultier & Hauw, 1965), where a polar carbonyl group of one molecule is placed at the centre of the polarizable system of a sixmembered ring (Wright, 1988). However, some degree of dipole-dipole contribution can be deduced from a calculation of charge distribution in the molecule by AM1 methods (Dewar, Zoebisch, Healy & Stewart, 1985). The carbonyl atom O(1) with the highest negative net atomic charge of -0.29 is next to carbonyl C(7)(1 + x, y, z) with the highest positive net atomic charge, +0.31. The charge distribution shows that there exist more attractive pairs of atoms than repulsive pairs between the overlapping molecules. This shows an inherent, yet minimal, electrostatic contribution to the stacking.

The packing coefficient (Kitaigorodsky, 1961) for the ordered structure model is 0.706 for both the $P2_1$ and Pc structures. This figure is lower than those of most of the non-disordered analogues: 0.78 for benz[*a*]anthracene-7,12-dione (Kuroda, Neidle & Subbiah, 1982), 0.75 for 1,4-dihydroxyanthraquinone (Nigam & Deppisch, 1980) and 0.72 for anthralin (Ahmed, 1980) and benzanthrone (Tafeenko, Solodar & Medvedev, 1988).

Experimental

The title compound was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from 2-butanone.

Crystal data

$C_{15}H_{10}O_{2}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 222.24$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 3.86(1) Å	$\theta = 9 - 11^{\circ}$
b = 12.771 (6) Å	$\mu = 0.084 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.994 (4) Å	T = 295 K
$\beta = 93.93(8)^{\circ}$	Prismatic
$V = 541 (2) Å^3$	$0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$ mm
Z = 2	Yellow
$D_x = 1.365 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractom- $R_{int} = 0.017$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$ eter $h = 0 \rightarrow 4$ $\omega/2\theta$ scans $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$ Absorption correction: $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ none 1102 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 961 independent reflections monitored every 97 589 observed reflections reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ intensity decay: 1%

Refinement

Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.02$
R = 0.061	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.058	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 2.21	Extinction correction: none
589 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
85 parameters	from International Tables
Only H-atom U's refined	for X-ray Crystallography
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$	(1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$B_{\text{eq}} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Z	Beg
O(1)	-0.3451 (8)	0.0355 (2)	-0.2166 (2)	6.4 (2)
C(1)	-0.125 (1)	0.2097 (4)	-0.0757 (4)	4.8 (2)
C(2)	-0.019(1)	0.2955 (4)	-0.0034 (5)	5.5 (2)
C(3)	0.154(1)	0.2739 (4)	0.1101 (4)	5.6 (3)
C(4)	0.217(1)	0.1719 (4)	0.1477 (4)	5.1 (2)
C(5)	0.109(1)	0.0879 (3)	0.0748 (4)	3.8 (2)
C(6)	-0.063 (1)	0.1066 (3)	-0.0381 (3)	3.9 (2)
C(7)	-0.184 (1)	0.0198 (3)	-0.1176 (4)	4.2 (2)
C(8)	-0.091 (2)	0.4038 (6)	-0.0407 (8)	6.0 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O(1)—C(7)	1.232 (4)	C(3)—C(4)	1.383 (5)			
C(1)—C(2)	1.396 (5)	C(4)—C(5)	1.385 (5)			
C(1)—C(6)	1.396 (5)	C(5)C(6)	1.388 (5)			
C(2)—C(3)	1.402 (6)	C(5)-C(7 ⁱⁱ)	1.476 (5)			
C(2)—C(8)	1.464 (8)	C(6)—C(7)	1.467 (5)			
C(2)—C(1)—C(6)	122.3 (4)	$C(6) - C(5) - C(7^{ii})$	121.2 (3)			
C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	117.0 (4)	C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	119.3 (4)			
C(1) - C(2) - C(8)	122.6 (6)	C(1)C(6)C(7)	119.7 (4)			
C(3)—C(2)—C(8)	120.4 (5)	C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	121.0 (4)			
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	121.0 (4)	$O(1) - C(7) - C(5^{ii})$	120.7 (4)			
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	121.1 (4)	O(1)-C(7)-C(6)	121.5 (4)			
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	119.3 (4)	$C(5^{ii}) - C(7) - C(6)$	117.8 (3)			
$C(4) - C(5) - C(7^{ii})$	119.5 (4)					

Symmetry code: (ii) -x, -y, -z.

The crystals of the title compound have a tendency to grow as twinned crystals. The H atoms of the disordered methyl group were not included in the refinement. The other H atoms were fixed at calculated positions and only their $B_{\rm iso}$'s were refined. The structure refinement was carried out at the X-ray Laboratory of Okayama University.

Data collection: RASAII (Rigaku Corporation, 1990). Cell refinement: RASAII. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1984). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1161). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Ahmed, F. R. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 3184-3186.

Dewar, M. J. S., Zoebisch, E. G., Healy, E. F. & Stewart, J. J. P. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 3902–3909.

Gaultier, J. & Hauw, C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 179–183. Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42–46.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

- Kitaigorodsky, A. I. (1961). Organic Chemical Crystallography, pp. 65-112. New York: Consultants Bureau.
- Kitaigorodsky, A. I. (1973). Molecular Crystals and Molecules, pp. 386-394. New York: Academic Press.

Klug, A. (1947). Nature (London), 160, 570.

- Kuroda, R., Neidle, S. & Subbiah, A. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1674– 1676.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.

Nigam, G. D. & Deppisch, B. (1980). Z. Kristallogr. 151, 185-191.

- Rigaku Corporation (1990). RASAII. X-ray Data Collection Package. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Robertson, J. M., Shearer, H. M. M., Sim, G. A. & Watson, D. G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 1-8.
- Tafeenko, V. A., Solodar, S. L. & Medvedev, S. V. (1988). Zh. Obshch. Khim. 58, 2600–2605.
- Wright, J. D. (1988). *Molecular Crystals*, pp. 20-65. Cambridge Univ. Press.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2096-2098

4,5-Dihydrobenzo[a]pyrene-4,5trans-(e,e)-diol

DAVID E. ZACHARIAS AND JENNY P. GLUSKER

The Institute for Cancer Research, The Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA 19111, USA

RONALD G. HARVEY

The Ben May Institute, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

(Received 8 April 1994; accepted 8 March 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{20}H_{14}O_2$, is a metabolite of the chemical carcinogen benzo[*a*]pyrene. The crystal structure consists of groups of molecules in each of which both hydroxy groups donate and accept hydrogen bonds. Stacking of dihydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene ring systems occurs along the crystallographic *c* axis.

Comment

A comparison of the present results for the title compound, (I), with the results for benzo[a]pyrene (Iball, Scrimgeour & Young, 1976), benzo[a]pyrene 4,5-oxide (Glusker, Zacharias, Fu & Harvey, 1976) and (\pm) - 7α , 8β -dihydroxy-7,8-dihydrobenzo[a]pyrene (Neidle, Subbiah & Osborne, 1981) shows mainly a lengthening in the K region [*i.e.* the C(4)—C(5) bond], where the ring is now partially saturated and dihydroxylation